

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/772,164	Applicant(s) TSIEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeffrey E. Russel	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey E. Russel.

(2) Frank J. Mycroft.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2006

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

55

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Jeffrey Edwin Russel
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1654

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner asked about claim 55, which refers to "the peptide of SEQ ID NO:2", although SEQ ID NO:2 does not define a peptide. Attorney stated that SEQ ID NO:4 was intended (see, e.g., page 6, lines 17-20, of the specification), and the examiner will continue the search on the assumption that SEQ ID NO:4 was intended..

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/772,164	Applicant(s) TSIEN ET AL	
	Examiner Jeffrey E. Russel	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey E. Russel.

(2) Frank J. Mycroft.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
55

Prior art documents discussed:
None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Jeffrey Edwin Russel
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1654

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)